Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/671,849	JIAO ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Antonio A. Caschera	2628	
All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>Responding to After-Final</u> <u>Amendment</u>		
(1) <u>Antonio A. Caschera</u> .	(3)		
(2) Anthony B. Diepenbrock.	(4)		
Date of Interview: 30 August 2006	Time: <u>1:00 PM</u>		
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applic  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	cant's representative)		
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed:  N/A	•		
Claims discussed:			
Prior art documents discussed: N/A			
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENE See Continuation Sheet	ERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	S DISCUSSED:	
Part III.			
<ul> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summand</li> </ul>	he examiner will provide a written record of the substance of the	en summary of the interview, since	ne substance
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicar	nt/Applicant's Representative Signature	gnature – if appr	opriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner contacted Applicant's Representative indicating that claims were in condition for allowance except for 35 USC 101 issues, according to the current practice of the Office, in the independent claims. Specifically, no tangible results are produced from the independent claims. Examiner and Applicant's Representative agreed upon amending the independent claims to recite that the expanded line segment is displayed on the output device which would overcome the 35 USC 101 issues.